

ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS CONSISTING OF UNLIKE POWERS

N. BRUIN¹, K. GYŐRY², L. HAJDU³ AND SZ. TENGELY⁴

ABSTRACT.

In this paper we present some new results about unlike powers in arithmetic progression. We prove among other things that for given $k \geq 4$ and $L \geq 3$ there are only finitely many arithmetic progressions of the form $(x_0^{l_0}, x_1^{l_1}, \dots, x_{k-1}^{l_{k-1}})$ with $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gcd(x_0, x_1) = 1$ and $2 \leq l_i \leq L$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. Furthermore, we show that, for $L = 3$, the progression $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is the only such progression up to sign. Our proofs involve some well-known theorems of Faltings [F], Darmon and Granville [DG] as well as Chabauty's method applied to superelliptic curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

By a classical result of Euler, which apparently was already known to Fermat (see [D] pp. 440 and 635), four distinct squares cannot form an arithmetic progression. Darmon and Merel [DM] proved that, apart from trivial cases, there do not exist 3-term arithmetic progressions consisting of l -th powers, provided $l \geq 3$. More generally, perfect powers from products of consecutive terms in arithmetic progression have been extensively studied in a great number of papers; see e.g. [T], [Sh] and [BBGyH] and the references there. In our article we deal with the following problem.

Question. *For all $k \geq 3$ characterize the non-constant arithmetic progressions*

$$(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})$$

with $\gcd(h_0, h_1) = 1$ such that each $h_i = x_i^{l_i}$ for some $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l_i \geq 2$.

Note that we impose the seemingly artificial primitivity condition $\gcd(h_0, h_1) = 1$. In case the h_i are all like powers, the homogeneity of the conditions ensures that up to scaling, we can assume $\gcd(h_0, h_1) = 1$ without loss of generality. If we do not take all l_i equal, however, there are infinite families that are not quite trivial,

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11D41.

¹Research supported in part by National Science and Engineering Research Council Canada (NSERC).

²Research supported in part by grants T42985 and T38225 of the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (HNFSR).

³Research supported in part by grants T42985 and T48791 of the HNFSR and by the János Bolyai Research Fellowship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

⁴Research supported in part by grant T48791 of the HNFSR.

but are characterized by the fact they have a fairly large common factor in their terms; see the examples below Theorem 3.

By a recent result of Hajdu [H] the ABC conjecture implies that if

$$(x_0^{l_0}, x_1^{l_1}, \dots, x_{k-1}^{l_{k-1}})$$

is an arithmetic progression with $\gcd(x_0, x_1) = 1$ and $l_i \geq 2$ for each i , then k and the l_i are bounded. Furthermore, he shows unconditionally that k can be bounded above in terms of $\max_i\{l_i\}$. In fact Hajdu proves these results for more general arithmetic progressions which satisfy the assumptions (i), (ii) of our Theorem 2 below.

As is known (see e.g. [M],[DG],[PT],[T1],[T2] and the references given there), there exist integers $l_0, l_1, l_2 \geq 2$ for which there are infinitely many primitive arithmetic progressions of the form $(x_0^{l_0}, x_1^{l_1}, x_2^{l_2})$. In these progressions the exponents in question always satisfy the condition

$$\frac{1}{l_0} + \frac{1}{l_1} + \frac{1}{l_2} \geq 1.$$

One would, however, expect only very few primitive arithmetic progressions of length at least four and consisting entirely from powers at least two. A definitive answer to the above question seems beyond present techniques. As in [H], we restrict the size of the exponents l_i and prove the following finiteness result:

Theorem 1. *Let $k \geq 4$ and $L \geq 2$. There are only finitely many k -term integral arithmetic progressions $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})$ such that $\gcd(h_0, h_1) = 1$ and $h_i = x_i^{l_i}$ with some $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $2 \leq l_i \leq L$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$.*

The proof of this theorem uses that for each of the finitely many possible exponent vectors (l_0, \dots, l_{k-1}) , the primitive arithmetic progressions of the form $(x_0^{l_0}, \dots, x_{k-1}^{l_{k-1}})$ correspond to the rational points on finitely many algebraic curves. In most cases, these curves are of genus larger than 1 and thus, by Faltings' theorem [F], give rise to only finitely many solutions.

In fact, our Theorem 1 above is a direct consequence of the following more general result and a theorem by Euler on squares in arithmetic progression. For a finite set of primes S , we write \mathbb{Z}_S^* for the set of rational integers not divisible by primes outside S .

Theorem 2. *Let L, k and D be positive integers with $L \geq 2, k \geq 3$, and let S be a finite set of primes. Then there are at most finitely many arithmetic progressions $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) *For $i = 0, \dots, k-1$, there exist $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2 \leq l_i \leq L$ and $\eta_i \in \mathbb{Z}_S^*$ such that*

$$h_i = \eta_i x_i^{l_i},$$

- (ii) $\gcd(h_0, h_1) \leq D$,
- (iii) *either $k \geq 5$, or $k = 4$ and $l_i \geq 3$ for some i , or $k = 3$ and $\frac{1}{l_0} + \frac{1}{l_1} + \frac{1}{l_2} < 1$.*

Remark. In (iii) the assumptions concerning the exponents l_i are necessary. For $k = 3$ this was seen above. In case of $k = 4$ the condition $l_i \geq 3$ for some i

cannot be omitted as is shown by e.g. the arithmetic progression $x_0^2, x_1^2, x_2^2, 73x_3^2$ with $S = \{73\}$. We have the homogeneous system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^2 + x_2^2 &= 2x_1^2 \\ x_1^2 + 73x_3^2 &= 2x_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

A non-singular intersection of two quadrics in \mathbb{P}^3 is a genus 1 curve. If there is a rational point on it, it is isomorphic to its Jacobian - an elliptic curve. In this example the elliptic curve has infinitely many rational points. Therefore we also have infinitely many rational solutions $(x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3)$. After rescaling, those all give primitive integral solutions as well.

For small l_i we can explicitly find the parametrizing algebraic curves and, using Chabauty's method, the rational points on them. This allows us to prove:

Theorem 3. *Let $k \geq 4$, and suppose that $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) = (x_0^{l_0}, x_1^{l_1}, \dots, x_{k-1}^{l_{k-1}})$ is a primitive integral arithmetic progression with $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $2 \leq l_i \leq 3$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. Then*

$$(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) = \pm(1, 1, \dots, 1).$$

The proof is rather computational in nature and uses p -adic methods to derive sharp bounds on the number of rational points on specific curves. The methods are by now well-established. Of particular interest to the connoisseur would be the argument for the curve \mathcal{C}_4 in Section 3, where we derive that an elliptic curve has rank 0 and a non-trivial Tate-Shafarevich group by doing a full 2-descent on an isogenous curve and the determination of the solutions to equation (7). The novelty for the latter case lies in the fact that, rather than considering a hyperelliptic curve, we consider a superelliptic curve of the form

$$f(x) = y^3, \text{ with } \deg(f) = 6.$$

We then proceed similarly to [B]. We determine an extension K over which $f(x) = g(x) \cdot h(x)$, with g, h both cubic. We then determine that \mathbb{Q} -rational solutions to $f(x) = y^3$ by determining, for finitely many values δ , the K -rational points on the genus 1 curve $g(x) = \delta y_1^3$, with $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Remark. The condition $\gcd(h_0, h_1) = 1$ in Theorems 1 and 3 is necessary. This can be illustrated by the following examples with $k = 4$. Note that the progressions below can be “reversed” to get examples for the opposite orders of the exponents l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3 .

- In case of $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (2, 2, 2, 3)$

$$((u^2 - 2uv - v^2)f(u, v))^2, ((u^2 + v^2)f(u, v))^2, ((u^2 + 2uv - v^2)f(u, v))^2, (f(u, v))^3$$

is an arithmetic progression for any $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $f(u, v) = u^4 + 8u^3v + 2u^2v^2 - 8uv^3 + v^4$.

- In case of $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (2, 2, 3, 2)$

$$((u^2 - 2uv - 2v^2)g(u, v))^2, ((u^2 + 2v^2)g(u, v))^2, (g(u, v))^3, ((u^2 + 4uv - 2v^2)g(u, v))^2$$

is an arithmetic progression for any $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $g(u, v) = u^4 + 4u^3v + 8u^2v^2 - 8uv^3 + 4v^4$.

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

The proof of Theorem 2 depends on the following well-known result by Darmon and Granville [DG].

Theorem A. *Let A, B, C and r, s, t be non-zero integers with $r, s, t \geq 2$, and let S be a finite set of primes. Then there exists a number field K such that all solutions $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}_S^*$ to the equation*

$$Ax^r + By^s = Cz^t$$

correspond, up to weighted projective equivalence, to K -rational points on some algebraic curve $X_{r,s,t}$ defined over K . Putting $u = -Ax^r/Cz^t$, the curve X is a Galois-cover of the u -line of degree d , unramified outside $u \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ and with ramification indices $e_0 = r, e_1 = s, e_2 = t$. Writing $\chi(r, s, t) = 1/r + 1/s + 1/t$ and g for the genus of X , we find

- if $\chi(r, s, t) > 1$ then $g = 0$ and $d = 2/\chi(r, s, t)$,
- if $\chi(r, s, t) = 1$ then $g = 1$,
- if $\chi(r, s, t) < 1$ then $g > 1$.

The two results below will be useful for handling special progressions, containing powers with small exponents. The first one deals with the quadratic case.

Theorem B. *Four distinct squares cannot form an arithmetic progression.*

Proof. The statement is a simple consequence of a classical result of Euler (cf. [M], p. 21), which was already known by Fermat (see [D] pp. 440 and 635). \square

We also need a classical result on a cubic equation.

Theorem C. *The equation $x^3 + y^3 = 2z^3$ has the only solutions $(x, y, z) = \pm(1, 1, 1)$ in non-zero integers x, y, z with $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$.*

Proof. See Theorem 3 in [M] on p. 126. \square

The next lemma provides the parametrization of the solutions of certain ternary Diophantine equations.

Lemma. *All solutions of the equations*

- i) $2b^2 - a^2 = c^3$, ii) $a^2 + b^2 = 2c^3$, iii) $a^2 + 2b^2 = 3c^3$, iv) $3b^2 - a^2 = 2c^3$,
- v) $3b^2 - 2a^2 = c^3$, vi) $a^2 + b^2 = 2c^2$, vii) $2a^2 + b^2 = 3c^2$, viii) $a^2 + 3b^2 = c^2$

in integers a, b and c with $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ are given by the following parametrizations:

i) $a = \pm(x^3 + 6xy^2)$ $b = \pm(3x^2y + 2y^3)$	<i>or</i> $a = \pm(x^3 + 6x^2y + 6xy^2 + 4y^3)$ $b = \pm(x^3 + 3x^2y + 6xy^2 + 2y^3)$
ii) $a = \pm(x^3 - 3x^2y - 3xy^2 + y^3)$ $b = \pm(x^3 + 3x^2y - 3xy^2 - y^3)$	
iii) $a = \pm(x^3 - 6x^2y - 6xy^2 + 4y^3)$ $b = \pm(x^3 + 3x^2y - 6xy^2 - 2y^3)$	

- iv) $a = \pm(x^3 + 9x^2y + 9xy^2 + 9y^3)$ or $a = \pm(5x^3 + 27x^2y + 45xy^2 + 27y^3)$
 $b = \pm(x^3 + 3x^2y + 9xy^2 + 3y^3)$ $b = \pm(3x^3 + 15x^2y + 27xy^2 + 15y^3)$
- v) $a = \pm(x^3 + 9x^2y + 18xy^2 + 18y^3)$ or $a = \pm(11x^3 + 81x^2y + 198xy^2 + 162y^3)$
 $b = \pm(x^3 + 6x^2y + 18xy^2 + 12y^3)$ $b = \pm(9x^3 + 66x^2y + 162xy^2 + 132y^3)$
- vi) $a = \pm(x^2 - 2xy - y^2)$
 $b = \pm(x^2 + 2xy - y^2)$
- vii) $a = \pm(x^2 + 2xy - 2y^2)$
 $b = \pm(x^2 - 4xy - 2y^2)$
- viii) $a = \pm(x^2 - 3y^2)/2$
 $b = \pm xy$

Here x and y are coprime integers and the \pm signs can be chosen independently.

Proof. The statement can be proved via factorizing the expressions in the appropriate number fields. More precisely, we have to work in the rings of integers of the following fields: $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$, $\mathbb{Q}(i)$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$. Note that the class number is one in all of these fields. As the method of the proof of the separate cases are rather similar, we give it only in two characteristic instances, namely for the cases i) and vii).

i) In $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ we have

$$(a + \sqrt{2}b)(a - \sqrt{2}b) = (-c)^3.$$

Using $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, a simple calculation gives that

$$\gcd(a + \sqrt{2}b, a - \sqrt{2}b) \mid 2\sqrt{2}$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. Moreover, $1 + \sqrt{2}$ is a fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$, and the only roots of unity are ± 1 , which are perfect cubes. Hence we have

$$(1) \quad a + \sqrt{2}b = (1 + \sqrt{2})^\alpha (\sqrt{2})^\beta (x + \sqrt{2}y)^3,$$

where $\alpha \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, $\beta \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and x, y are some rational integers. By taking norms, we immediately obtain that $\beta = 0$. If $\alpha = 0$, then expanding the right hand side of (1) we get

$$a = x^3 + 6xy^2, \quad b = 3x^2y + 2y^3.$$

Otherwise, when $\alpha = \pm 1$ then (1) yields

$$a = x^3 \pm 6x^2y + 6xy^2 \pm 4y^3, \quad b = \pm x^3 + 3x^2y \pm 6xy^2 + 2y^3.$$

In both cases, substituting $-x$ and $-y$ for x and y , respectively, we obtain the parametrizations given in the statement. Furthermore, observe that the coprimality of a and b implies $\gcd(x, y) = 1$.

vii) By factorizing in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ we obtain

$$(b + \sqrt{-2}a)(b - \sqrt{-2}a) = 3c^2.$$

Again, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ implies that

$$\gcd(b + \sqrt{-2}a, b - \sqrt{-2}a) \mid 2\sqrt{-2}$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ has no other units than ± 1 . Since $2 = -(\sqrt{-2})^2$, we can write

$$(2) \quad b + \sqrt{-2}a = (-1)^\alpha (1 + \sqrt{-2})^\beta (1 - \sqrt{-2})^\gamma (\sqrt{-2})^\delta (x + \sqrt{-2}y)^2,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \{0, 1\}$ and x, y are some rational integers. By taking norms, we immediately get that $\delta = 0$ and $\beta + \gamma = 1$. In these cases, by expanding the right hand side of (2) we obtain (choosing the \pm signs appropriately) that

$$a = \pm(\pm x^2 + 2xy \mp y^2), \quad b = \pm(x^2 \mp 4xy - 2y^2).$$

Substituting $-x$ and $-y$ in places of x and y , respectively, we get the parametrizations indicated in the statement. Again, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ gives $\gcd(x, y) = 1$. \square

3. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

Note that Theorem 1 directly follows from Theorem B and Theorem 2. Hence we begin with the proof of the latter statement.

Proof of Theorem 2. Since an arithmetic progression of length $k > 5$ contains an arithmetic progression of length 5, we only have to consider the cases $k = 5, 4$ and 3. The condition that $2 \leq l_i \leq L$ leaves only finitely many possibilities for the exponent vector $\underline{l} = (l_0, \dots, l_{k-1})$. Therefore, it suffices to prove the finiteness for a given exponent vector \underline{l} .

Note that if $h_i = \eta_i x_i^{l_i}$ for some $\eta_i \in \mathbb{Z}_S^*$, then without loss of generality, η_i can be taken to be l_i -th power free. This means that, given \underline{l} , we only need to consider finitely many vectors $\underline{\eta} = (\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{k-1})$. Hence, we only need to prove the theorem for $k = 3, 4, 5$, and \underline{l} and $\underline{\eta}$ fixed. Note that if $\gcd(h_0, h_1) \leq D$, then certainly $\gcd(x_i, x_j) \leq D$. We enlarge \bar{S} with all primes up to D .

We write $n = h_1 - h_0$ for the increment of the arithmetic progression. With $k, \underline{l}, \underline{\eta}$ fixed, the theorem will be proved if we show that the following system of equations has only finitely many solutions:

- (a) $\eta_i x_i^{l_i} - \eta_j x_j^{l_j} = (i - j)n$ for all $0 \leq i < j \leq k - 1$.
- (b) $(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ with $\gcd(x_0, x_1) \leq D$.

Hence, we need to solve

$$(j - m)\eta_i x_i^{l_i} + (m - i)\eta_j x_j^{l_j} + (i - j)\eta_m x_m^{l_m} = 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq m, i, j \leq k - 1.$$

For $m = 0, i = 1$, we obtain that each of our solutions would give rise to a solution to

$$(3) \quad j\eta_1 x_1^{l_1} - \eta_j x_j^{l_j} + (1 - j)\eta_0 x_0^{l_0} = 0.$$

By applying Theorem A we see that such solutions give rise to K_j -rational points on some algebraic curve C_j over some number field K_j . Furthermore, putting

$$u = \frac{\eta_1 x_1^{l_1}}{\eta_0 x_0^{l_0}},$$

we obtain that C_j is a Galois-cover of the u -line, with ramification indices l_0, l_1, l_j over $u = \infty, 0, j/(j - 1)$ respectively and unramified elsewhere.

If $k = 3$, we recover the approach of Darmon and Granville. Theorem A immediately implies that if $1/l_0 + 1/l_1 + 1/l_2 < 1$ then C_2 has genus larger than 1 and thus (by Faltings) has only finitely many rational points. This establishes the desired finiteness result.

If $k = 4$, we are interested in solutions to (3) for $j = 2, 3$ simultaneously. Let M be a number field containing both K_2 and K_3 . Then the solutions we are interested in, correspond to M -rational points on C_2 and C_3 that give rise to the same value of u , i.e., we want the rational points on the fibre product $C_2 \times_u C_3$. This fibre product is again Galois and has ramification indices at least l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3 over $u = \infty, 0, 2, \frac{3}{2}$, respectively. Since $C_2 \times_u C_3$ is Galois over the u -line, all its connected components have the same genus and degree, say, d . Writing g for the genus of this component, the Riemann-Hurwitz formula gives us

$$2g - 2 \geq d \left(2 - \frac{1}{l_0} - \frac{1}{l_1} - \frac{1}{l_2} - \frac{1}{l_3} \right).$$

Hence, we see that $g \leq 1$ only if $l_0 = l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = 2$. For other situations, we have $g \geq 2$, so $C_2 \times_u C_3$ has only finitely many M -rational points.

If $k = 5$, we argue similarly, but now we consider $C_2 \times_u C_3 \times_u C_4$, with ramification indices at least l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4 over $u = 0, \infty, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{4}{3}$, respectively. Hence, we obtain

$$2g - 2 \geq d \left(3 - \frac{1}{l_0} - \frac{1}{l_1} - \frac{1}{l_2} - \frac{1}{l_3} - \frac{1}{l_4} \right),$$

so that $g \geq 2$ in all cases.

□

Proof of Theorem 3. The proof involves some explicit computations that are too involved to do either by hand or reproduce here on paper. Since the computations are by now completely standard, we choose not to bore the reader with excessive details and only give a conceptual outline of the proof. For full details, we refer the reader to the electronic resource [notes], where a full transcript of a session using the computer algebra system MAGMA [magma] can be found. We are greatly indebted to all contributors to this system. Without their work, the computations sketched here would not at all have been trivial to complete.

It suffices to prove the assertion for $k = 4$. We divide the proof into several parts, according to the exponents of the powers in the arithmetic progression. If $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (2, 2, 2, 2)$, $(3, 3, 3, 3)$, $(2, 3, 3, 3)$ or $(3, 3, 3, 2)$, then our statement follows from Theorems B and C. We handle the remaining cases by Chabauty's method. We start with those cases where the classical variant works. After that we consider the cases where we have to resort to considering some covers of elliptic curves.

The cases $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (2, 2, 2, 3)$ and $(3, 2, 2, 2)$.

From the method of our proof it will be clear that by symmetry we may suppose $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (2, 2, 2, 3)$. That is, the progression is of the form $x_0^2, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^3$. Applying part i) of our Lemma to the last three terms of the progression, we get that either

$$x_1 = \pm(x^3 + 6xy^2), \quad x_2 = \pm(3x^2y + 2y^3)$$

or

$$x_1 = \pm(x^3 + 6x^2y + 6xy^2 + 4y^3), \quad x_2 = \pm(x^3 + 3x^2y + 6xy^2 + 2y^3)$$

where x, y are some coprime integers in both cases.

In the first case by $x_0^2 = 2x_1^2 - x_2^2$ we get

$$x_0^2 = 2x^6 + 15x^4y^2 + 60x^2y^4 - 4y^6.$$

Observe that $x \neq 0$. By putting $Y = x_0/x^3$ and $X = y^2/x^2$ we obtain the elliptic equation

$$Y^2 = -4X^3 + 60X^2 + 15X + 2.$$

A straightforward calculation with MAGMA gives that the elliptic curve described by this equation has no affine rational points.

In the second case by the same assertion we obtain

$$x_0^2 = x^6 + 18x^5y + 75x^4y^2 + 120x^3y^3 + 120x^2y^4 + 72xy^5 + 28y^6.$$

If $y = 0$, then the coprimality of x and y yields $x = \pm 1$, and we get the trivial progression $1, 1, 1, 1$. So assume that $y \neq 0$ and let $Y = x_0/y^3$, $X = x/y$. By these substitutions we are led to the hyperelliptic (genus two) equation

$$\mathcal{C}_1 : Y^2 = X^6 + 18X^5 + 75X^4 + 120X^3 + 120X^2 + 72X + 28.$$

We show that $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ consists only of the two points on \mathcal{C}_1 above $X = \infty$, denoted by ∞^+ and ∞^- .

The order of $\mathcal{J}_{\text{tors}}(\mathbb{Q})$ (the torsion subgroup of the Mordell-Weil group $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q})$ of the Jacobian of \mathcal{C}_1) is a divisor of $\gcd(\#\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{F}_5), \#\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{F}_7)) = \gcd(21, 52) = 1$. Therefore the torsion subgroup is trivial. Moreover, using the algorithm of M. Stoll [St] implemented in MAGMA we get that the rank of $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q})$ is at most one. As the divisor $D = [\infty^+ - \infty^-]$ has infinite order, the rank is exactly one. Since the rank of $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q})$ is less than the genus of \mathcal{C}_1 , we can apply Chabauty's method [C] to obtain a bound for the number of rational points on \mathcal{C}_1 . For applications of the method on related problems, we refer to [CF], [Fl], [FPS], [P].

As the rank of $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q})$ is one and the torsion is trivial, we have $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q}) = \langle D_0 \rangle$ for some $D_0 \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q})$ of infinite order. A simple computation $(\bmod 13)$ shows that $D \notin 5\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q})$, and a similar computation $(\bmod 139)$ yields that $D \notin 29\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q})$. Hence $D = kD_0$ with $5 \nmid k$, $29 \nmid k$. The reduction of \mathcal{C}_1 modulo p is a curve of genus two for any prime $p \neq 2, 3$. We take $p = 29$. Using Chabauty's method as implemented in MAGMA by Stoll, we find that there are at most two rational points on \mathcal{C}_1 . Therefore we conclude that $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{Q}) = \{\infty^+, \infty^-\}$, which proves the theorem in this case.

The cases $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (2, 2, 3, 2)$ **and** $(2, 3, 2, 2)$.

Again, by symmetry we may suppose that $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (2, 2, 3, 2)$. Then the progression is given by $x_0^2, x_1^2, x_2^3, x_3^2$. Now from part iii) of our Lemma, applied to the terms with indices 0, 2, 3 of the progression, we get

$$x_0 = \pm(x^3 - 6x^2y - 6xy^2 + 4y^3), \quad x_3 = \pm(x^3 + 3x^2y - 6xy^2 - 2y^3)$$

where x, y are some coprime integers. Using $x_1^2 = (2x_0^2 + x_3^2)/3$ we obtain

$$x_1^2 = x^6 - 6x^5y + 15x^4y^2 + 40x^3y^3 - 24xy^5 + 12y^6.$$

If $y = 0$, then in the same way as before we deduce that the only possibility is given by the progression $1, 1, 1, 1$. Otherwise, if $y \neq 0$, then write $Y = x_1/y^3$, $X = x/y$ to get the hyperelliptic (genus two) curve

$$\mathcal{C}_2 : Y^2 = X^6 - 6X^5 + 15X^4 + 40X^3 - 24X + 12.$$

By a calculation similar to that applied in the previous case (but now with $p = 11$ in place of $p = 29$) we get that $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ consists only of the points ∞^+ and ∞^- . Hence the statement is proved also in this case.

The cases $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (3, 2, 3, 2)$ and $(2, 3, 2, 3)$.

As before, without loss of generality we may assume $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (3, 2, 3, 2)$. Then the progression is given by $x_0^3, x_1^2, x_2^3, x_3^2$. We have

$$(4) \quad x_1^2 = \frac{x_0^3 + x_2^3}{2}, \quad x_3^2 = \frac{-x_0^3 + 3x_2^3}{2}.$$

We note that $x_2 = 0$ implies $x_1^2 = -x_3^2$, hence $x_1 = x_3 = 0$. So we may assume that $x_2 \neq 0$, whence we obtain from (4) that

$$\left(\frac{2x_1x_3}{x_2^3} \right)^2 = - \left(\frac{x_0}{x_2} \right)^6 + 2 \left(\frac{x_0}{x_2} \right)^3 + 3.$$

Thus putting $Y = 2x_1x_3/x_2^3$ and $X = x_0/x_2$, it is sufficient to find all rational points on the hyperelliptic curve

$$\mathcal{C}_3 : Y^2 = -X^6 + 2X^3 + 3.$$

We show that $\mathcal{C}_3(\mathbb{Q}) = \{(-1, 0), (1, \pm 2)\}$.

Using MAGMA we obtain that the rank of the Jacobian $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q})$ of $\mathcal{C}_3(\mathbb{Q})$ is at most one, and the torsion subgroup $\mathcal{J}_{\text{tors}}(\mathbb{Q})$ of $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q})$ consists of the elements \mathcal{O} and $[(\frac{1-\sqrt{3}i}{2}, 0) + (\frac{1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}, 0) - \infty^+ - \infty^-]$. As the divisor $D = [(-1, 0) + (1, -2) - \infty^+ - \infty^-]$ has infinite order, the rank of $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q})$ is exactly one. The only Weierstrass point on \mathcal{C}_3 is $(-1, 0)$. We proceed as before, using the primes 7 and 11 in this case. We conclude that $(1, \pm 2)$ are the only non-Weierstrass points on \mathcal{C}_3 . It is easy to check that these points give rise only to the trivial arithmetic progression, so our theorem is proved also in this case.

The case $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (3, 2, 2, 3)$.

Now the arithmetic progression is given by $x_0^3, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^3$. A possible approach would be to follow a similar argument as in the previous case. That is, multiplying the formulas

$$x_1^2 = \frac{2x_0^3 + x_3^3}{3}, \quad x_2^2 = \frac{x_0^3 + 2x_3^3}{3}$$

we get

$$(3x_1x_2)^2 = 2x_0^6 + 5x_0^3x_3^3 + 2x_3^6.$$

If $x_3 = 0$ then $\gcd(x_2, x_3) = 1$ yields $x_1^2 = \pm 2$, a contradiction. So we may suppose that $x_3 \neq 0$, and we obtain

$$Y^2 = 2X^6 + 5X^3 + 2$$

with $X = x_0/x_3$ and $Y = 3x_1x_2/x_3^3$. However, a calculation with MAGMA gives that the rank of the Jacobian of the above hyperelliptic curve is two, hence we cannot apply the classical Chabauty argument in this case. So we follow a different method, which also makes it possible to exhibit an elliptic curve (over some number field) having non-trivial Tate-Shafarevich group.

For this purpose, observe that we have

$$(-x_0x_3)^3 = 2d^2 - (x_1x_2)^2,$$

where d denotes the increment of the progression. Using part i) of our Lemma we get that there are two possible parametrizations given by

$$x_1x_2 = \pm(x^3 + 6x^2y + 6xy^2 + 4y^3), \quad d = \pm(x^3 + 3x^2y + 6xy^2 + 2y^3), \quad x_0x_3 = -x^2 + 2y^2$$

or

$$x_1x_2 = \pm(x^3 + 6xy^2), \quad d = \pm(3x^2y + 2y^3), \quad x_0x_3 = x^2 - 2y^2.$$

Therefore from $x_1^2 + d = x_2^2$ either

$$(5) \quad x_1^4 + dx_1^2 - (x^3 + 6x^2y + 6xy^2 + 4y^3)^2 = 0$$

or

$$(6) \quad x_1^4 + dx_1^2 - (x^3 + 6xy^2)^2 = 0$$

follows, respectively. In the first case, the left hand side of (5) can be considered as a polynomial of degree two in x_1^2 . Hence its discriminant must be a perfect square in \mathbb{Z} , and we get the equation

$$5x^6 + 54x^5y + 213x^4y^2 + 360x^3y^3 + 384x^2y^4 + 216xy^5 + 68y^6 = z^2$$

in integers x, y, z . A simple calculation with MAGMA shows that the Jacobian of the corresponding hyperelliptic curve

$$Y^2 = 5X^6 + 54X^5 + 213X^4 + 360X^3 + 384X^2 + 216X + 68$$

is of rank zero (anyway it has three torsion points), and there is no rational point on the curve at all. Hence in this case we are done. It is interesting to note, however, that this curve does have points everywhere locally. We really do need this global information on the rank of its Jacobian in order to decide it does not have any rational points.

In case of (6) by a similar argument we obtain that $d^2 + 4(x^3 + 6xy^2)^2 = z^2$, whence

$$4x^6 + 57x^4y^2 + 156x^2y^4 + 4y^6 = z^2$$

with certain integers x, y, z . Observe that $y = 0$ yields a non-primitive solution. Hence after putting $Y = z/2y^3$ and $X = x/y$, we get that to solve the above equation it is sufficient to find all rational points on the curve

$$\mathcal{C}_4 : Y^2 = f(X) = X^6 + (57/4)X^4 + 39X^2 + 1.$$

We show that the rational points on \mathcal{C}_4 all have $X \in \{0, \infty\}$.

A straightforward computation shows that the rank of the Jacobian $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q})$ of \mathcal{C}_4 is two, so we cannot apply Chabauty's method as before (cf. also [CF]). We use part of the 2-coverings of \mathcal{C}_4 following [B]. For details, see [notes]. Let

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}[X]/(X^3 + (57/4)X^2 + 39X + 1).$$

Over this field, we have

$$f(X) = Q(X)R(X) = (X^2 - \alpha)(X^4 + (\alpha + 57/4)X^2 + \alpha^2 + (57/4)\alpha + 39).$$

One easily gets that $\text{Res}(Q, R)$ is a unit outside $S = \{\text{places } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } K \text{ dividing } 6 \text{ or } \infty\}$. Therefore, if $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{Q})$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_\delta : (Y_1)^2 &= \delta R(X) \\ L_\delta : (Y_2)^2 &= \delta Q(X) \end{aligned}$$

for some $Y_1, Y_2 \in K$ and $\delta \in K^*$ representing some element of the finite group

$$K(S, 2) := \{[d] \in K^*/K^{*2} : 2 \mid \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(d) \text{ for all places } \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}.$$

Furthermore, since $N_{K[X]/\mathbb{Q}[X]}(Q) = f$, we see that $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\delta) \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$. Running through these finitely many candidates, we see that the only class for which D_δ has points locally at the places of K above 2 and ∞ is represented by $\delta = 1$. Over K , the curve D_1 is isomorphic to

$$E : v^2 = u^3 - \frac{4\alpha + 57}{2}u^2 - \frac{48\alpha^2 + 456\alpha - 753}{16}u,$$

where $X = v/(2u)$. This curve has full 2-torsion over K and a full 2-descent or any 2-isogeny descent gives a rank bound of two for $E(K)$. However, one of the isogenous curves,

$$E' : Y^2 = X^3 + (4\alpha + 57)X^2 + (16\alpha^2 + 228\alpha + 624)X$$

has $S^{(2)}(E'/K) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, which shows that $E'(K)$ is of rank zero, since E' has 4-torsion over K . This shows that E has non-trivial 2-torsion in its Tate-Shafarevich group and that $E(K)$ consists entirely of torsion. In fact,

$$E(K) = \{\infty, (0, 0), ((12\alpha^2 + 195\alpha + 858)/32, 0), ((-12\alpha^2 - 131\alpha + 54)/32, 0)\}.$$

It follows that

$$X(\mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{Q})) \subset X(D_1(K)) = \{0, \infty\},$$

where $X(\cdot)$ denotes the set of the X -coordinates of the appropriate points on the corresponding curve. This proves that for all the rational points on \mathcal{C}_4 we have $X \in \{0, \infty\}$, which implies the theorem also in this case.

The cases $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (2, 2, 3, 3)$ and $(3, 3, 2, 2)$.

Again by symmetry, we may assume that $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (2, 2, 3, 3)$. Then the progression is $x_0^2, x_1^2, x_2^3, x_3^3$, whence

$$x_1^2 = 2x_2^3 - x_3^3 \quad \text{and} \quad x_0^2 = 3x_2^3 - 2x_3^3.$$

If $x_3 = 0$ then the coprimality of x_2 and x_3 gives $x_1^2 = \pm 2$, which is a contradiction. Hence we may assume that $x_3 \neq 0$, and we get the equation

$$y^2 = F(x) = 6x^6 - 7x^3 + 2$$

with $x = x_2/x_3$, $y = x_0x_1/x_3^3$. Put $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{2}$ and observe that we have the factorization $F(x) = G(x)H(x)$ over K where

$$G(x) = 3\alpha x^4 - 3x^3 - 2\alpha x + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad H(x) = \alpha^2 x^2 + \alpha x + 1.$$

A simple calculation by MAGMA gives that $\text{Res}(G, H)$ is a unit outside the set $S = \{\text{places } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } K \text{ dividing } 6 \text{ or } \infty\}$. Hence we can write

$$3\alpha x^4 - 3x^3 - 2\alpha x + 2 = \delta z^2$$

with some z from K and δ from the integers of K dividing 6. Moreover, observe that the norm of δ is a square in \mathbb{Z} . Using that $\alpha - 1$ is a fundamental unit of K , $2 = \alpha^3$ and $3 = (\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1)^3$, local considerations show that we can only have solutions with $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ with both $G(x)$ and $H(x) \in K^{*2}$ if, up to squares, $\delta = \alpha - 1$. We consider

$$3\alpha x^4 - 3x^3 - 2\alpha x + 2 = (\alpha - 1)z^2$$

with $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $z \in K$. Now by the help of the point $(1, 1)$, we can transform this curve to Weierstrass form

$$E : X^3 + (-72\alpha^2 - 90\alpha - 108)X + (504\alpha^2 + 630\alpha + 798) = Y^2.$$

We have $E(K) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ as an Abelian group and the point $(X, Y) = (-\alpha^2 - 1, 12\alpha^2 + 15\alpha + 19)$ is a non-trivial point on this curve. Again applying elliptic Chabauty with $p = 5$, we get that the only solutions of our original equation is $(x, z) = (1, 1)$. Hence the theorem follows also in this case.

The case $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (2, 3, 3, 2)$.

Now we have a progression $x_0^2, x_1^3, x_2^3, x_3^2$, and we can write

$$x_0^2 = 2x_1^3 - x_2^3 \quad \text{and} \quad x_3^2 = -x_1^3 + 2x_2^3.$$

If $x_2 = 0$ then the coprimality of x_1 and x_2 gives $x_0^2 = \pm 2$, which is a contradiction. Hence we may assume that $x_2 \neq 0$, and we are led to the equation

$$y^2 = F(x) = -2x^6 + 5x^3 - 2$$

with $x = x_1/x_2$, $y = x_0x_3/x_2^3$. Now we have the factorization $F(x) = G(x)H(x)$ over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{2}$, where

$$G(x) = \alpha^2 x^4 + (\alpha + 2)x^3 + (\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1)x^2 + (\alpha + 2)x + \alpha^2$$

and

$$H(x) = -\alpha x^2 + (\alpha^2 + 1)x - \alpha.$$

One can easily verify that $\text{Res}(G, H) = 1$. Thus we obtain

$$\alpha^2 x^4 + (\alpha + 2)x^3 + (\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1)x^2 + (\alpha + 2)x + \alpha^2 = \delta z^2$$

where $z \in K$ and δ is a unit of K . Moreover, as the norm of δ is a square in \mathbb{Z} , we get that, up to squares, $\delta = 1$ or $\alpha - 1$. The case when $\delta = 1$ yields the equation

$$\alpha^2 x^4 + (\alpha + 2)x^3 + (\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1)x^2 + (\alpha + 2)x + \alpha^2 = z^2$$

in $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $z \in K$. We can transform this equation to an elliptic one by the help of its point $(1, \alpha^2 + \alpha + 1)$. Then applying elliptic Chabauty, the procedure “Chabauty” of MAGMA with $p = 5$ in this case gives that this equation has four solutions with $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, namely $(x, z) = (0, 1), (1, 0), (\pm 1, 1)$. Lifting these solutions to the original problem, our theorem follows also in this case.

When $\delta = \alpha - 1$, using $x = x_1/x_2$ we get the equation

$$\alpha^2 x_1^4 + (\alpha + 2)x_1^3 x_2 + (\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1)x_1^2 x_2^2 + (\alpha + 2)x_1 x_2^3 + \alpha^2 x_2^4 = (\alpha - 1)\gamma^2$$

with some integer γ of K . Writing now γ in the form $\gamma = u + \alpha v + \alpha^2 w$ with some $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and comparing the coefficients of 1 and α in the above equation, a simple calculation shows that $x_1^3 x_2 + x_1^2 x_2^2 + x_1 x_2^3$ must be even. However, then $2 \mid x_1 x_2$, and considering the progression $x_0^2, x_1^3, x_2^3, x_3^2$ modulo 4 we get a contradiction. Hence the theorem follows also in this case.

The case $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (3, 3, 2, 3)$ and $(3, 2, 3, 3)$.

As previously, without loss of generality we may assume that $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) = (3, 3, 2, 3)$. Then the progression is of the form $x_0^3, x_1^3, x_2^2, x_3^3$. We note that using the cubes one would find $3x_1^3 = x_3^3 + 2x_0^3$ which leads to an elliptic curve. However, this elliptic curve has positive rank, hence this approach does not work.

So we use some other argument. We have $x_1^3 + x_3^3 = 2x_2^2$, whence

$$x_1 + x_3 = 2su^2, \quad x_1^2 - x_1 x_3 + x_3^2 = sv^2,$$

where $u, v, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $s \mid 3$. By considerations modulo 3 we obtain that only $s = 1$ is possible. Hence $(2x_1 - x_3)^2 + 3x_3^2 = (2v)^2$ and from part viii) of our Lemma we get that

$$(7) \quad f(x, y) := 3x^6 + 18x^5y + 9x^4y^2 - 148x^3y^3 - 27x^2y^4 + 162xy^5 - 81y^6 = 2(\pm 4x_0)^3$$

in coprime integers x, y .

Note that the equation $f(x, y) = 2z^3$ is invariant under the transformation $(x, y, z) \mapsto (-3y, x, -3z)$. The two obvious solutions $(x, y, z) = (1, -1, -4)$ and $(x, y, z) = (3, 1, 12)$ are interchanged by this involution.

We have the factorization $f(x, y) = g(x, y)h(x, y)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, y) &= (\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1)x^3 + (-2\alpha^3 - \alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1)x^2y + \\ &\quad (3\alpha^2 - 26\alpha - 13)xy^2 + (-6\alpha^3 - 3\alpha^2 + 6\alpha + 3)y^3 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, y) &= (2\alpha^3 + 3\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 9)x^3 + (12\alpha^3 + 17\alpha^2 - 10\alpha + 53)x^2y + \\ &\quad (6\alpha^3 + 9\alpha^2 - 6\alpha + 27)xy^2 + (-92\alpha^3 - 141\alpha^2 + 66\alpha - 401)y^3 \end{aligned}$$

over the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ defined by a root α of the polynomial $X^4 + 2X^3 + 4X + 2$.

Using the same reasoning as before, we have that a rational solution to $f(x, y) = 2z^3$ with x, y, z not all 0, yields a solution to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, y) &= \delta(u_0 + u_1\alpha + u_2\alpha^2 + u_3\alpha^3)^3 \\ h(x, y) &= 2/\delta(v_0 + v_1\alpha + v_2\alpha^2 + v_3\alpha^3)^3 \end{aligned}$$

with $x, y, u_0, \dots, v_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and where δ is a representative of an element of the finite group $K(S, 3)$, with $S = \{\text{places } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } K \text{ dividing } 6 \text{ or } \infty\}$. For each δ , the equations above can be expressed as eight homogeneous equations of degree 3, describing some non-singular curve in \mathbb{P}^8 over \mathbb{Q} . The only values of δ for which this curve is locally solvable at 3 are

$$\delta_1 = (\alpha^3 + 2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha - 2)/2 \text{ and } \delta_2 = (\alpha^3 + 4\alpha^2 + 6\alpha + 2)/2.$$

These values correspond to the obvious solutions with $(x, y) = (1, -1)$ and $(x, y) = (3, 1)$ respectively.

We now determine the K -rational points on the curve

$$g(x, y) = \delta_1 z_1^3$$

with $x/y \in \mathbb{Q}$. Using the K -rational point $(x : y : z) = (1 : -1 : -2\alpha)$, we can see that this curve is isomorphic to the elliptic curve

$$E : Y^2 = X^3 - 48\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^2 + 480\alpha + 210.$$

Using a 2-descent we can verify that $E(K)$ has rank at most 3 and some further computations show that $E(K) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^3$, where the points with X -coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} &(-2\alpha^3 + 13\alpha^2 - 28\alpha + 44)/9, \\ &(16\alpha^3 + 52\alpha^2 + 14\alpha - 1)/9, \\ &(2\alpha^3 + 3\alpha^2 - 14\alpha - 6)/3 \end{aligned}$$

generate a finite index subgroup with index prime to 6. The function x/y on the curve $g(x, y) = \delta_1 z_1^3$ yields a degree 3 function on E as well.

Using the Chabauty-method described in [B] and implemented in MAGMA 2.11 as **Chabauty**, using $p = 101$, we determine that the given point is in fact the only one with $x/y \in \mathbb{Q}$. For details, see [notes].

For δ_2 we simply observe that using the involution $(x, y) \mapsto (-3y, x)$, we can reduce this case to the computations we have already done for δ_1 .

We conclude that $(x, y) = (1, -1)$ and $(x, y) = (3, 1)$ give the only solutions to $f(x, y) = 2z^3$. These solutions correspond to the arithmetic progressions $(0, 1, 2, 3)$ (which up to powers of 2, 3 indeed consists of second and third powers), $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ and their $\mathbb{Z}_{\{2,3\}}^*$ -equivalent counterparts. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the referee for his useful and helpful remarks.

REFERENCES

- [BBGyH] M. A. Bennett, N. Bruin, K. Győry and L. Hajdu, *Powers from products of consecutive terms in arithmetic progression*, Bull. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [B] N. Bruin, *Chabauty methods and covering techniques applied to generalized Fermat equations*, CWI Tract, vol. 133, Stichting Mathematisch Centrum, Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [CF] J. W. S. Cassels and E. V. Flynn, *Prolegomena to a middlebrow arithmetic of curves of genus 2*, vol. 230, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996, pp. xiv+219.
- [C] C. Chabauty, *Sur les points rationnels des courbes algébriques de genre supérieur à l'unité*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **212** (1941), 882–885.
- [DG] H. Darmon and A. Granville, *On the equations $z^m = F(x, y)$ and $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$* , Bull. London Math. Soc. **27** (1995), 513–543.
- [DM] H. Darmon and L. Merel, *Winding quotients and some variants of Fermat's Last Theorem*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **490** (1997), 81–100.
- [D] L. E. Dickson, *History of the theory of numbers. Vol. II: Diophantine analysis*, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1966, pp. xxv+803.
- [F] G. Faltings, *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), 349–366.
- [Fl] E. V. Flynn, *A flexible method for applying Chabauty's theorem*, Compositio Math. **105** (1997), 79–94.
- [FPS] E. V. Flynn, B. Poonen and E. F. Schaefer, *Cycles of quadratic polynomials and rational points on a genus-2 curve*, Duke Math. J. **90** (1997), 435–463.
- [H] L. Hajdu, *Perfect powers in arithmetic progression. A note on the inhomogeneous case*, Acta Arith. **113** (2004), 343–349.
- [M] L. J. Mordell, *Diophantine Equations*, Academic Press, London and New York, 1969.
- [magma] J. Cannon et al., *The Magma computational algebra system*, <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au>.
- [notes] *Transcript of computations*, <http://www.cecm.sfu.ca/~nbruin/unlikepowers>.
- [PT] I. Pink and Sz. Tengely, *Full powers in arithmetic progressions*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **57** (2000), 535–545.
- [P] B. Poonen, *The classification of rational preperiodic points of quadratic polynomials over \mathbb{Q} : a refined conjecture*, Math. Z. **228** (1998), 11–29.
- [Sh] T. N. Shorey, *Powers in arithmetic progression*, in: A Panorama in Number Theory (G. Wüstholz, ed.), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002, pp. 325–336.
- [St] M. Stoll, *Implementing 2-descent for Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves*, Acta Arith. **98** (2001), 245–277.
- [T1] Sz. Tengely, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + a^2 = 2y^p$* , Indag. Math. (N.S.) **15** (2004), 291–304.
- [T2] Sz. Tengely, *Effective Methods for Diophantine Equations*, Ph.D. thesis, Leiden Univ., Leiden, The Netherlands, 2004.
- [T] R. Tijdeman, *Diophantine equations and diophantine approximations*, in: Number Theory and Applications (R. A. Mollin, ed.), Kluwer Acad. Press, 1989, pp. 215–243.

NILS BRUIN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY
 BURNABY, BC
 CANADA V5A 1S6

KÁLMÁN GYŐRY
LAJOS HAJDU

NUMBER THEORY RESEARCH GROUP
OF THE HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, AND
UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
P.O. BOX 12
4010 DEBRECEN
HUNGARY

SZabolcs Tengely

UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
P.O. BOX 12
4010 DEBRECEN
HUNGARY

E-mail address:
nbruin@cecm.sfu.ca
gyory@math.klte.hu
hajdul@math.klte.hu
tengely@math.klte.hu